

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/728,117	12/04/2000	Byung-Kyu Park	101190-00010	2049
7590 10/01/2004			EXAMINER	
	KINTNER PLOTKIN	LEO, LEONARD R		
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036-5339		00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			٨٨
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	VV
	09/728,117	PARK ET AL.	. !
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leonard R. Leo	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be evallable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b></b>		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	), 11, 453 O.G. 213.	,
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		••	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	· , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	<del>-</del>	received in this ivational Sta	Ae
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received	
See the addition detailed office action for a list	o. are commen copies not	TOOLITOU.	
Attachment(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_ ` `	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	.) ·
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		-

Application/Control Number: 09/728,117

Art Unit: 3753

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species (i.e. tube orientation) of the claimed invention:

- I. The species illustrated in Figure 4a; and
- II. The species illustrated in Figure 4b.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Additionally, claim 7 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct sub-species comprising circular, elliptical, square, rectangular or hexagonal cross-sections. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Additionally, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct sub-species (i.e. wick) of the claimed invention:

- I. The sub-species illustrated in Figure 5b (i.e. I-shaped);
- II. The sub-species illustrated in Figure 7b (i.e. axially braided);
- III. The sub-species illustrated in Figure 7c (rightmost, i.e. H-shaped); and
- IV. The unillustrated sub-species of claim 13.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed sub-species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Art Unit: 3753

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species and sub-species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species and/or sub-species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species and/or sub-species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species and sub-species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species and sub-species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3753

#### Conclusion

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is 703-308-2611. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 703-308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Leonard R. Leo Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753

September 29, 2004

# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.